

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Ru

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/835,458	04/16/2001	Majid Anwar	PGLD-P01-003	7727
28120 7590 12/27/2007 ROPES & GRAY LLP			EXAMINER	
PATENT DOCKETING 39/41 ONE INTERNATIONAL PLACE BOSTON, MA 02110-2624			LESPERAN	CE, JEAN E
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/835,458	ANWAR, MAJID			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
·	Jean E Lesperance	2629			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repreply within the statutory minimum of thirty iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTItute, cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01</u>	1 October 2007.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ T	his action is non-final.				
B) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 5,17,18,22,23,33-40 and 43-57 is/a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) 50-52,54 and 55 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 5,17,18,22,23,33-40 and 43-49, 53 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Irawn from consideration. 3, 56-57 is/are rejected.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 16 April 2001 is/are:		•			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	- ·				
	Examiner. Note the attented				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Ap riority documents have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	-				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date			
Processor of Proce		rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed October 1, 2007 is entered and claims 5, 17-18, 22-23, 33-40, and 43-57 are pending.

2. The indicated allowability of claim 35 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to 6,340,980 ("Ho"). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 5, 17-18, 22-23, 33-40, and 43-57 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5, 17, 22-23, 33-34, 36, 53, and 56-57 are rejected under 35
U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,310,610 by Beaton et al. in view of USPN 5,463,725 ("Henckel et al.").

Regarding claim 5, Beaton et al. teach the processor, memory, and touchsensitive display are arranged as a data processing platform for a device selected from
the group consisting of a hand-held computer, a telephone, a mobile data terminal, a set

top box, an embedded processor, a notebook computer, a computer workstation, a printer, a copier, a facsimile machine, an in-car system, a domestic appliance, an audio player, a microwave oven, a washing machine, and a refrigerator (the mobile telephone Fig.3a (310)).

Regarding claim 17, Beaton et al. teach the plurality of user interface commands includes a command for altering data content of the digital representation of the document (Memory 440 stores data and program code used by feature processor 430. Memory 440 includes static RAM 442 and flash ROM 444. Static RAM 442 is a volatile memory that stores data and other information used by feature processor 430. Flash ROM 444, on the other hand, is a non-volatile memory that stores the program code executed by feature processor 430 (column 4, lines 9-15)) wherein it is inherently for the digital representation of the document is there is a change in the program code. A program code or instruction can always be altered.

Regarding claim 22, Beaton et al. teach the plurality of commands includes a command for controlling a transparency characteristic of a document presented on the touch-sensitive display (An activated navigation tool is preferably <u>transparent</u> to avoid hindering the display of content information in the viewing area as shown in FIG. 8. Alternatively, the navigation star may change colors or other features of its appearance to indicate its active status. A solid line image, for example, may be used in greyscale displays that do not support <u>transparency</u> (column 5, lines 3-32)).

Regarding claim 23, Beaton et al. teach the command for controlling a transparency characteristic of the image adjusts the visibility of the document relative to

Application/Control Number: 09/835,458

Art Unit: 2629

a displayed image corresponding to a different document at least partially underlying the document (An activated navigation tool is preferably <u>transparent</u> to avoid hindering the display of content information in the viewing area as shown in FIG. 8. Alternatively, the navigation star may change colors or other features of its appearance to indicate its active status. A solid line image, for example, may be used in greyscale displays that do not support <u>transparency</u> (column 5, lines 3-32)).

Regarding claim 33, Beaton et al. teach a processor Fig.4 (430), memory Fig.4 (440), and a touch-sensitive display Fig.4 (474),

system code stored within the memory and adapted to be executed by the processor to provide a digital representation of a document including data content and a page structure representative of a page layout of the document (column 4, lines 9-15),

an engine for rendering an image of a portion of the page layout of the digital representation on the touch-sensitive display, wherein the portion comprises a first page of the document (column 5, lines 42-50),

a display monitor in communication with the touch-sensitive display screen for detecting motion of a pointer across the touch-sensitive display Fig.4 (470)

an interface process in communication with the display monitor for processing the motion detected by the display monitor to detect one of a plurality of user interface commands, Fig. 4 (420). Accordingly, the prior art teaches all the claimed limitations with the exception of providing a flip page command and a velocity detector. However, Henckel et al. teach a multiple page document on a touch screen graphical display device (see Fig. 2) wherein the processor Fig. 5 (44) connected to a motion detector

Fig. 5 (52) wherein when the user wants to turn or flip the pages of the document (shown in Fig.2), the user touches the screen with his hand or a pointing device, and moves it across the screen. Movement across the screen, while touching the screen, causes an animated turning of the page of the displayed printed material, and wherein the motion detector can detect how fast or slow the user is moving across the screen to determine the rate and velocity of the touching. Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the touch sensitive screen as taught by Kenckel et al. in the touch sensitive system disclosed by Beaton et al. because this would provide an interface for displaying information which is usable in an intuitive manner by an unknowledgeable user.

Regarding claim 34, Beaton et al. teach the interface process detects the page flip command in response to the display monitor detecting a brushing motion across the document rendered on the touch-sensitive display by the engine (column 5, lines 34-41) wherein the brushing motion represents the user touching the different arrows to navigate different pages.

Regarding claim 35, Kenckel et al. teach an <u>interface</u> for making information available to a user provides a display similar to a printed book or magazine. In order to "turn the page" of the displayed book, the user touches the screen with his hand or a pointing device, and moves it across the screen. Movement across the screen, while touching the screen, causes an animated turning of the page of the displayed printed material (abstract) wherein Fig.4 (38) represents a brushing motion across a corner of the document on the touch sensitive display.

Regarding claim 36, Beaton et al. teach the pointer comprises a stylus (touch point distribution (Fig.12)).

Regarding claim 53 and 56-57, Beaton et al. teach a processor Fig.4 (430), memory Fig.4 (440), and a touch-sensitive display Fig.4 (474), system code stored within the memory and adapted to be executed by the processor to provide a digital representation of a document including data content and a page structure representative of a page layout of the document (column 4, lines 9-15).

an engine for rendering an image of a portion of the page layout of the digital representation on the touch-sensitive display, wherein the portion comprises a first page of the document (column 5, lines 42-50),

a display monitor in communication with the touch-sensitive display screen for detecting motion of a pointer across the touch-sensitive display Fig.4 (470)

an interface process in communication with the display monitor for processing the motion detected by the display monitor to detect one of a plurality of user interface commands, Fig. 4 (420). Accordingly, the prior art teaches all the claimed limitations with the exception of providing a flip page command and page curled command. However, Kenckel et al. teach an interface for making information available to a user provides a display similar to a printed book or magazine. In order to "turn the page" of the displayed book, the user touches the screen with his hand or a pointing device, and moves it across the screen. Movement across the screen, while touching the screen, causes an animated turning of the page of the displayed printed material (as seen in Fig. 4) wherein 38 is a page curled command. Thus, it would have been obvious to a

person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the touch sensitive screen as taught by Kenckel et al. in the touch sensitive system disclosed by Beaton et al. because this would provide an <u>interface</u> for displaying information which is usable in an intuitive manner by an unknowledgeable user.

5. Claims 37-40, and 43-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,310610 by Beaton et al. in view of U.S. patent No. 6,411,274 ("Watanabe et al.").

Regarding claim 37, Beaton et al. teach a processor Fig.4 (430), memory Fig.4 (440), and a touch-sensitive display Fig.4 (474),

system code stored within the memory and adapted to be executed by the processor to provide a digital representation of a document including data content and a page structure representative of a page layout of the document (column 4, lines 9-15),

an engine for rendering an image of at least a portion of the page layout of the digital representation on the touch-sensitive display (column 5, lines 42-50),

a display monitor in communication with the touch-sensitive display screen for detecting motion of a pointer across the touch-sensitive display Fig.4 (470)

an interface process in communication with the display monitor for processing the motion detected by the display monitor to detect one of a plurality of user interface commands command Fig.4 (420). Accordingly, the prior art teaches all the claimed limitations with the exception of providing the command detected by the interface process being the zoom command, the engine renders a zoomed version of the document and a velocity detector.

However, Watanabe et al. teach a map display region 136, a map window title bar 138, a scale display 139, a latitude/longitude display 140, a (zoom in) button 141, a (zoom out) button 142, a scale bar 143, a scale bar knob (also referred to as "slider") 144, a maximizing button 145, a minimizing button 146 and an X button (close button) 147 etc. are displayed within this image 130. A separate window 130b is displayed within a window 130a of the image 130 (see Fig.3) and a detection circuit Fig.2 (84) outputs a detection signal corresponding to this operation to the CPU 81.

Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the zoom and the detection circuit as taught by Watanabe et al. in the system disclosed by Beaton et al. because this would provide the user of the system of Beaton more control over the document.

Regarding claim 38, Watanabe et al. teach an interface process detects the zoom command in response to the display monitor detecting a clicking over the image rendered on the touch-sensitive display followed by a upward or downward movement of the pointer across the touch-sensitive display (if the user preferably carries out an operation while looking at the side end of the display screen so that the displayed portion of the digital map is continuously reduced when shifting the shifting operation part of the scale setting part in an <u>upward</u> direction of the display screen, and on the contrary, the displayed portion of the digital map is continuously enlarged when shifting the shifting operation part of the scale setting part in a downward direction of the display screen, there will be no mistakes in the zooming operations because the displayed portion of the digital map will be enlarged and reduced in conformity with the sense of

up and down of the user (column 2, lines 7-18) and (The menu bar 132 is positioned below the title bar 131 and is lined up with function names, and when <u>clicking</u> is performed with the pointer 5 being positioned at this menu bar 132, a more detailed display is given. A window is a displayed portion surrounded by a window-like frame opened on the computer screen. The term "<u>clicking</u>" means an operation of quickly pressing and releasing the mouse button (column 5, lines 13-21).

Regarding claim 39, Watanabe et al. teach the clicking comprises a doubleclicking (a mouse Fig.1 (6) that uses double-clicking for selecting icons on the screen (4).

Regarding claim 40, Beaton et al. teach the processor, memory, and touch-sensitive display are arranged as a data processing platform for a device selected from the group consisting of a hand-held computer, a telephone, a mobile data terminal, a set top box, an embedded processor, a notebook computer, a computer workstation, a printer, a copier, a facsimile machine, an in-car system, a domestic appliance, an audio player, a microwave oven, a washing machine, and a refrigerator (a telephone module Fig. 3A (310)).

Regarding claim 43, Watanabe et al. teach the zoom command to provide an inertial zoom (a map display region 136, a map window title bar 138, a <u>scale</u> display 139, a latitude/longitude display 140, a (<u>zoom</u> in) button 141, a (<u>zoom</u> out) button 142, a <u>scale</u> bar 143, a <u>scale</u> bar knob (also referred to as "slider") 144, a maximizing button 145, a minimizing button 146 and an X button (close button) 147 etc. are displayed

within this image 130. A separate window 130b is displayed within a window 130a of the image 130 (see Fig.3).

Regarding claim 44, Beaton et al. teach the plurality of user interface commands includes a page flip command for flipping a page of a document (see Figs. 9A-9C).

Regarding claim 45, Beaton et al. teach the plurality of user interface commands includes a command for altering data content of the digital representation of the document (Memory 440 stores data and program code used by feature processor 430. Memory 440 includes static RAM 442 and flash ROM 444. Static RAM 442 is a volatile memory that stores data and other information used by feature processor 430. Flash ROM 444, on the other hand, is a non-volatile memory that stores the program code executed by feature processor 430 (column 4, lines 9-15)) wherein it is inherently for the digital representation of the document is there is a change in the program code. A program code or instruction can always be altered.

Regarding claim 46, Beaton et al. teach the plurality of commands includes a command for controlling a transparency characteristic of a document presented on the touch-sensitive display (An activated navigation tool is preferably <u>transparent</u> to avoid hindering the display of content information in the viewing area as shown in FIG. 8. Alternatively, the navigation star may change colors or other features of its appearance to indicate its active status. A solid line image, for example, may be used in greyscale displays that do not support <u>transparency</u> (column 5, lines 3-32)).

Regarding claim 47, Beaton et al. teach the plurality of commands includes a command for controlling a transparency characteristic of a document presented on the

touch-sensitive display (An activated navigation tool is preferably <u>transparent</u> to avoid hindering the display of content information in the viewing area as shown in FIG. 8. Alternatively, the navigation star may change colors or other features of its appearance to indicate its active status. A solid line image, for example, may be used in greyscale displays that do not support <u>transparency</u> (column 5, lines 3-32)).

Page 11

Regarding claim 48, Beaton et al. teach the pointer comprises a stylus (touch point distribution (Fig.12)).

Regarding claim 49, Watanabe et al. teach the zoom command is communicated to the engine as a view control input (a map display region 136, a map window title bar 138, a <u>scale</u> display 139, a latitude/longitude display 140, a (<u>zoom</u> in) button 141, a (<u>zoom</u> out) button 142, a <u>scale</u> bar 143, a <u>scale</u> bar knob (also referred to as "slider") 144, a maximizing button 145, a minimizing button 146 and an X button (close button) 147 etc. are displayed within this image 130. A separate window 130b is displayed within a window 130a of the image 130 (see Fig.3).

6. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,310610 by Beaton et al. in view of U.S. patent No. 5,463,725 ("Kenckel et al.") and further in view of USPN 6,411,274 ("Watanabe et al.").

Regarding claim 18, Beaton et al. teach all the claimed limitations with the exception of providing the plurality of user interface commands includes a command for changing a scale of the document on the touch-sensitive display.

However, Watanabe et al. teach a map display region 136, a map window title bar 138, a <u>scale</u> display 139, a latitude/longitude display 140, a (zoom in) button 141, a

(zoom out) button 142, a scale bar 143, a scale bar knob (also referred to as "slider")

144, a maximizing button 145, a minimizing button 146 and an X button (close button)

147 etc. are displayed within this image 130. A separate window 130b is displayed within

a window 130a of the image 130 (see Fig.3).

Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to the zoom in as taught by Watanabe et al. in the system disclosed by Beaton et al. because this would provide the user of the system of Beaton more control over the document.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 50-52 and 54-55 are allowed.
- 8. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the claimed invention is directed to a computer device.

Independent claims 50 and 54 identifies a uniquely distinct feature "in response to the command detected by the interface process being the pan command, the engine renders a series of pages of the document on the display at a rate based on the determined velocity vector and a page inertia".

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean Lesperance whose telephone number is (571) 272-7692. The examiner can normally be reached on from Monday to Friday between 10:OOAM and 6:30PM.

Application/Control Number: 09/835,458

Art Unit: 2629

Page 13

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Supervisor, Richard Hjerpe, can be reached on (571) 272-7691.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

·Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office Whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Jean Lesperance

Art Unit 2629

Date 12/17/2007

RICHARD HJERPE

SUPERUSCAY PATENT EXAMINER